	Yes	No		
5. Site recommended for Emergency Response review?				
6. Citizen complaint or request?		. 🗆 .		
7. Based on the above criteria does this site warrant discovery and assignment for a Preliminary Assessment?	-			
If yes, is the site a high priority?				
Comments: (Please give justification for the recommendation	1)			
Brief Site Description/History				
Site Contamination Information			4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 -	
Receptor Information				e'
		. · ·		
Conclusion/Recommendation				_
Discovery Information				
Site Name:  Street Address: City, State: Zip Code:				
County:		-		
Latitude: Longitude:	·····		<b></b>	
FDEP Reviewer/ Title:			- -	
Signature/Date:			<del>~~~</del>	

Site Screening Form Revised 10/27/99

### APPENDIX D

# EPA REGION 4 ARCHIVE PILOT REVIEW CHECKLIST AND REMEDIAL SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION

#### Region 4 Archive Pilot Review Checklist

#### General Instructions:

Complete the following checklist using readily available information from the SI, SIP, and/or ESI, and contacting appropriate individuals. Any contacts made that are not part of the file should be included as a reference with this checklist. Seven criteria are outlined, choose the criterion that is most appropriate for your site. Only one fulfilled criterion is necessary to designate a site as eligible for Archive Status. Archive Status can be designated by the site manager upon his/her review for Criteria 1 through 3. Criteria 4 through 7 require the concurrence of an EPA or State Risk Assessor or ATSDR. Attach completed checklist to the SA Decision Form.

S	it	6	In	fc	7	a	+i	ÓΒ	
~		_				_	1 . 1		

Site Name: Site Address:			•	
City, County, Sta	ite:			
EPA ID Number:				
Review By:				
Report Reviewed: HRS score:	. sɪ	SIP	ESI	·
Sgw	Ssw	Ss	Sair_	
Is the site being or enforcement ac	addressed tions?	by the State unde	er its Voluntary (	Cleanup Program
		· ·		

List Major Contaminants of Concern:

Contaminant	MCL/SSL	Level Detected; Media of detection	Source of Information	
	•			
		•		
			•	
•			<del></del>	
	•			
•				
	•			
	·	•	•	

#### CRITERION #1

For Sites scoring only due to potential to release, answer the following questions:

a.	Has there been a release-to the aquifer of concern, surface water, or air?	If no, continue.	
<b>b.</b>	Is the waste quantity 10 or less?		If yes,
	continue.	*	
			•
C.	Is the soil contamination below EPA's soil screening		
	levels and FDEP's Brownfields Remediation Levels? _ archive status.	If yes,	the site is eligible for
	arenive status.		
CDIT	TERION #2		
CMI	ERION #2		
For S	sites scoring due to an Observed Release, answer the following	questions:	
		<u>.</u>	
a.	Is the waste quantity 10 or less?	_If yes, continue.	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
•			<u>-</u>
b.	Is the observed release below MCLs?	<u>-</u>	•
	If above MCLs go to Criteria #4.		
с	Is the soil contamination below EPA's soil screening leve	Is for proundwater	nrotection?
			•
	If all answers to all above are yes or NA, the site is eligi	ible for archive sta	tus.
_			
CRIT	ERION#3		
For Si	tes with Observed Contamination, answer the following questi	ons:	
•			
1. A	re soil contamination concentrations below EPA's soil so	creening level and/or	action levels or FDEP's
	Brownfields Remediation Levels?	_If yes, the site is e	ligible for archive

The following criteria would require the concurrence of an EPA or State Risk Assessor or ATSDR.

CRITE	ERION	#4
	a.	Is the site primarily a ground water plume?
	b.	Is the ground water contamination above the MCLs?
	c.	Is the waste quantity 10 and less?
	đ.	Is the soil contamination below EPA soil screening levels?
	<b>c.</b> ,	Can the site be remedied through natural attenuation?
	f.	Can the site be remedied through treatment at existing municipal water treatment plants?
If you a State Ri	nswere isk Ass	d yes for a-d, and e or f, the site may be eligible for archive status following review by an EPA or essor or ATSDR.
CRITE	RION	#5
_	<b>a.</b>	Does the site have an Ecological Risk Assessment, if applicable, which indicates that the site poses an exceptable risk?
•	b.	Does the site have a Human Health Risk Assessment which indicates that the site poses an acceptable risk?
If a or b ATSDR	is yes, -	the site may be eligible for archive status following review by an EPA or State Risk Assessor or
CRITE	RION	#6
	Sanita	ry Landfill Sites with the following:
•	<b>a.</b>	Does the site have an operational groundwater monitoring system?
- 1 t	<b>b.</b>	Is the landfill lined?
• ,	c.	Is there a leachate collection system?
	d.	Has there been a release to Groundwater? If yes, has the release been below MCLs?

	C.	Has there been a release to surface waters?
		If yes, has the release been below SCDM benchmarks?
1	<b>f.</b>	Is the facility operating in compliance with state and local regulations?
g		Can the site be addressed under RCRA Subtitle D?
If you a Assesso	nswere	ed yes for a-e, the site may be eligible for archive status following review by an EPA or State Risk TSDR.
CRITE	RION	#7
-	REM	OVAL ACTION SITES
	a.	Has a removal action occurred at the site?
•	<b>b.</b>	Have the sources of contamination been removed from the site?
	C.	Does any long-term action appear warranted?
If you a by an E	inswer PA or	ed yes for a and b, and no for c, the site may be eligible for archive status following review State Risk Assessor or ATSDR.

## REMEDIAL SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION - EPA REGION IV

Site Name:		EPA ID#:	<u> </u>	
Alias Site Names:			•	
erm (California	•			
City:	County or Parisi	n:	_ State:	
			<u>FL</u>	
Refer to Report Dated:	₹	type:	•	•
Report developed by:				
DECISION:				
	Accoment under OFDO! A			
	Assessment under CERCLA	(Superrund) is <u>not</u> re	quired because:	
1 1a. Site does no	of qualify for further remedial sment under CERCLA	15. Site may qualif	y for further     Rideferred to:     N	
(No Furthe	r Remedial Action Planned - NF	FRAP)	00.0.100 to: 1 1 tt	
2. Further Assessment	Needed Under CERCLA:	2a. (optional) Priority:	[ ] Higher   11	Lower
2b. Activity     PA	ESI			•
Type:     SI	HRS evaluation			
[1	Other:			
	· · · · · ·			
				-
DISCUSSION/RATION	ALE:		-	
•		en e		
	•			•
		en e		
	•			
		•	•	
•	•			
port Reviewed		•	•	
nd Approved by:	Signature:			Date:
ite Decision	• •			•
ade by:	Signature:		Date:	

EPA Form # 9100-3